

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,563 12/05		12/05/2003	Tae-Ahn Jahng	559552000200	8911
25225	7590	04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
MORRISO	ON & FO	ERSTER LLP	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEG		2130-2332		3732	-
				DATE MAIL ED 04/05/200	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/728,563		JAHNG, TAE-AHN			
	Onice Action Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	Pedro Philo	•	3732			
Period fo		appears on the t	over sneet with the c	orrespondence address -	•		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three months after the modern discovered by the Office later than three modern discovered by the Office later t	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event n. a reply within the statuto eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	05 December 200	<u>03</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is no	n-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		·		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from cons					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI r No(s)/Mail Date 07/02/04	8) B/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/728,563

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Justis et al. (6,530,929).

With respect to claim 5, Justis et al disclose a marking and guidance system for use during spinal surgery, comprising a means (280) for marking a location of an entry point on a vertebral bone for subsequent implantation of a securing member (61) of a spinal fixation device.

With respect to claims 6,7, Justis et al disclose all the limitations, as set forth in column 12, lines 44-67, column 13, lines 1-55.

With respect to claims 8-11, the method steps ass et forth, would have been inherently carried out in the operation of the device, as set forth above.

With respect to claim 12, Justis et al disclose a system for marking and guiding the insertion of securing members of a spinal fixation device, comprising means, as set forth in column 12, lines 44-58, for inserting a marking pin at or near a desired entry point on a vertebral bone of a patient's spinal column; means for retracting retracting tissue outwardlyand away from the marking pin so as to provide a surgical field of view

Art Unit: 3732

for insertion of securing member of a spinal fixation device; ass et forth in column 12, lines 59-67, column 13, lines 1-19; means (100) for inserting the securing member (61) into the vertebral bone of the entry point by the marking pin (280).

With respect to claims 13,14, Justis et al disclose all the limitations, asset forth in column 12, lines 44-67, column 13, lines 1-55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kambin (4.573,448) in view of Mangiardi et al. (5,665,092).

With respect to claims 1-3, Kambin discloses a marking and guidance system for use during spinal fixation surgery comprising a guide tube (12) comprising a hollow cylindrical channel; a penetrating device (16) positioned within the hollow channel and having a sharp tip (FIG.2) a marking pin (18) to be inserted through the cylindrical channel of the guide pin, after removal of the penetrating device.

It is noted that Kambin did not teach of a pushing device to be inserted through the cylindrical channel of the guide tube and provide a driving force to the marking pin; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Mangiardi et al., evidence the use of a marking pin with a pusher device (2,4), so that the marking pin can be attached, detachably, and fixedly in rotation to the proximal end of the pushing device. Application/Control Number: 10/728,563

Art Unit: 3732

Therefore, given the teaching of Mangiardi et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kambin, as taught by Mangiardi et al., so that the marking pin can be attached, detachably, and fixedly in rotation to the proximal end of the pushing device.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kambin (4,573,448) in view of Mangiardi et al. (5,665,092) in view of Justis et al. (6,530,929).

With respect to claim 4, it is noted that the above combination of references did not teach of a securing device comprising a pedicle screw having a axial channel for receiving the second end of the and at least a portion of the marking pin therein; as claimed by applicant, However, in a similar art, Justis et al evidence the use of a pedicle screw with a longitudinal axial channel for receiving the end of the marking pin to maintain the pedicle screw in an aligned position.

Therefore, given the teaching of Justis, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a pedicle screw in the device of Kambin/Mangiardi, as taught by Justis et al to maintain the pedicle screw in an aligned position.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,468,279	10-2002	Reo
6,821,277	11-2004	Teitelbaum
6,596,008	07-2003	Kambin

Application/Control Number: 10/728,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

6,575,979

06-2003

Cragg

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene March 31, 2005 PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER